# Summary: Executive Budget Recommendation for Fiscal Years 2011-12 and 2012-13 JUDICIARY



Analyst: Ben Gielczyk

	FY 2010-11 Year-to-Date	FY 2011-12	Difference: FY 2 Vs. FY 2010-	-	FY 2012-13	Difference: FY 2012-13 Vs. FY 2011-12	
	as of 2/17/11	Executive	Amount	%	Executive	Amount	%
IDG/IDT	\$3,573,500	\$2,573,500	(\$1,000,000)	(28.0)	\$2,573,500	\$0	0.0
Federal	5,539,500	5,539,500	0	0.0	5,539,500	0	0.0
Local	6,252,200	6,342,700	90,500	1.5	6,446,600	103,900	1.6
Private	842,500	842,500	0	0.0	842,500	0	0.0
Restricted	92,078,000	92,100,300	22,300	0.0	92,126,300	26,000	0.0
GF/GP	152,073,100	152,876,900	803,800	0.5	154,387,400	1,510,500	1.0
Gross	\$260,358,800	\$260,275,400	(\$83,400)	(0.0)	\$261,915,800	\$1,640,400	0.6
FTEs	491.0	491.0	0.0	0.0	491.0	0.0	0.0

Notes: (1) FY 2010-11 appropriation figures include the results of supplementals, Executive Order (EO) actions, and transfer adjustments through February 17, 2011. (2) FY 2012-13 figures are projected or proposed budget amounts only and would not be legally-binding appropriations. (3) Budget changes described below are based on the "Schedule of Program" sections included in the Executive Budget; amounts listed in those schedules are informational in nature and could be potentially adjusted administratively, without legislative approval, within the larger line items into which programs have been rolled up.

# **Overview**

Article VI of the State Constitution of 1963 forms the basis for Michigan's judicial branch of government, which receives funds appropriated through the budget act for the Judiciary. The Judiciary budget provides operational funding for the Michigan Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, and related judicial agencies. The budget also funds the salaries of justices of the Supreme Court and judges of the appeals, circuit, probate, and district courts according to constitutional and statutory requirements. Assistance for local court operations is provided through a variety of grant programs. The largest of these, the Court Equity grant program, reimburses counties for trial court operations based on a statutory formula that recognizes circuit and probate caseloads and the numbers of judgeships.

			<b>Executive Changes</b>	
Major Budget Changes From FY 2010-11 YTD Appropriations  1. Line Item Roll-Ups The current year budget contains 31 line items. The Executive	F	-Y 2010-11 YTD (as of 2/17/11)	FY 2010-11 to FY 2011-12	FY 2011-12 to FY 2012-13
budget contains 2 line items.				
2. Elimination of Judgeships Includes savings associated with temporary elimination of two judgeships on January 1, 2011 and recommended elimination of six trial court judgeships in FY 2011-12. Savings totaling \$69,900 GF/GP associated with temporary elimination of two judgeships represent one-quarter of annual savings due to temporary elimination beginning on January 1, 2011. Savings totaling \$942,100 GF/GP associated with elimination of six trial court judgeships represent full year savings.	<b>Gross</b> GF/GP	<b>\$1,012,000</b> \$1,012,000	<b>(\$1,012,000)</b> (\$1,012,000)	<b>\$0</b> \$0
3. Early Retirement Savings Reflects a \$1.0 million Gross and GF/GP reduction which accounts for savings associated with the FY 2010-11 early retirement package.	<b>Gross</b> GF/GP	<b>\$1,019,500</b> \$1,019,500	<b>(\$1,019,500)</b> (\$1,019,500)	<b>\$0</b> \$0

**Executive Changes** FY 2010-11 YTD FY 2010-11 to FY 2011-12 to Major Budget Changes From FY 2010-11 YTD Appropriations (as of 2/17/11) FY 2011-12 FY 2012-13 4. Fund Source Transfer Gross \$1,000,000 \$0 \$0 Increase of \$1.0 million GF/GP and decrease of \$1.0 million IDG **IDG** 1,000,000 (1,000,000)0 to reflect fund source transfer. Replaces Michigan Department of GF/GP \$1,000,000 \$0 Corrections IDG with corresponding amount of GF/GP. 5. Economics Gross N/A \$1,948,100 \$1,640,400 Funds economic adjustments as follows for FY 2011-12: N/A Local 90,500 103,900 Insurance: (\$190,400) Gross, (\$172,800) GF/GP Restricted N/A 22,300 26,000

GF/GP

N/A

\$1,835,300

\$1,510,500

Retirement: \$1,951,600 Gross, \$1,823,400 GF/GP
Worker's compensation: \$30,400 Gross and GF/GP
Building occupancy: \$156,500 Gross, \$154,300 GF/GP

# Major Boilerplate Changes From FY 2010-11

**NOTE:** No boilerplate language is proposed for FY 2012-13.

# **Executive Boilerplate Deletions**

In general, the Executive Budget deletes a large majority of boilerplate language included in the FY 2010-11 budget. This includes many legislative reporting requirements, sections providing guidance and placing conditions on appropriations, and earmarks of funding for specific purposes. The list below includes major changes to boilerplate, but is not a comprehensive list of all sections deleted.

#### Sec. 214. Michigan Goods or Services Preference - DELETED

Requires that Michigan goods and services be given priority when purchasing goods or services if competitively priced and of comparable quality.

#### Sec. 215. Out-of-State Travel Restrictions - DELETED

Limits out-of-state travel in the judicial branch to certain situations. Requires report of out-of-state travel expenses.

# Sec. 223. Juror Compensation Reimbursement Fund Transfer - DELETED

Requires \$2.6 million of the juror compensation reimbursement fund balance at the close of FY 2009-10 be transferred to the general fund.

# Sec. 309. Mental Health Court Status Report - DELETED

Requires the State Court Administrative Office to provide an update on the status of the pilot mental health courts.

### Sec. 310. Drug Treatment Court Performance Report - DELETED

Requires State Court Administrative Office (SCAO) to evaluate and collect data on the performance of the drug treatment court programs. Requires SCAO to provide an annual review of the performance of drug courts.

# Sec. 312. Parental Rights Restoration Act Report - DELETED

Instructs state court administrator to report total number of petitions filed by minors seeking court-issued waiver of parental consent under the Parental Rights Restoration Act, and total number of petitions granted.

# Sec. 318. Community Court Pilot Project - DELETED

Establishes a community court pilot project and states and funds appropriated in part 1 shall be used for administration of the pilot program.