# FY 2014-15: COMMUNITY COLLEGES Summary: As Reported by House Subcommittee (HB 5311) Article II, House Bill 5314 (H-1) Draft 1



# Analyst: Marilyn Peterson

FY 2013-14

	FY 2013-14 YTD	FY 2014-15	FY 2014-15	FY 2014-15	FY 2014-15	Difference: House From FY 2013-14 YTD		
	as of 2/5/14	Executive	House	Senate	Enacted	Amount	%	
IDG/IDT	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$ <i>0</i>		
Federal	0	0	0			0		
Local	0	0	0			0		
Private	0	0	0			0		
Restricted	197,614,100	197,614,100	197,614,100			0	0.0	
GF/GP	138,363,500	173,910,800	173,910,900			35,547,300	25.7	
Gross	\$335,977,600	\$371,524,900	\$371,525,000			\$35,547,300	10.6	

Notes: (1) FY 2013-14 year-to-date figures include mid-year budget adjustments through February 5, 2014. (2) Appropriation figures for all years include all proposed appropriation amounts, including amounts designated as "one-time."

## <u>Overview</u>

The Community Colleges budget supports the 28 community colleges located throughout the state. The colleges are governed by locally-elected boards of trustees. The colleges offer numerous educational programs aimed at traditional transfer students, career and technical education, developmental and remedial education, and continuing education courses. The colleges are funded through a combination of state aid, local property tax revenue, tuition and fees revenue, and other sources of revenue such as federal grants and investment earnings. State aid makes up about 19% of total community college general fund operating revenue, although the revenue mix varies considerably among the colleges.

Major Budget Changes From FY 2013-14 YTD Appropriations	Year-to-Date (as of 2/5/14)	House Change	
<b>1. Community College Operations Funding</b> <u>Executive</u> and <u>House</u> increase funding for community college operations grants by \$8.9 million (3.0%). Projected increases for individual community colleges range from 2.7% to 3.7%. Funding increase to be distributed under current performance funding formula. <u>Executive</u> newly subjects receipt of funding increase to a condition that a receiving college restrain its tuition and fee increases to 3.2% or less. <u>House</u> does not include the tuition restraint provision.	Gross Restricted GF/GP	<b>\$298,244,000</b> 195,880,500 \$102,363,500	<b>\$8,947,300</b> 0 \$8,947,300
2. MPSERS Contributions <u>Executive</u> includes \$27.7 million GF/GP increase for the state's share of colleges' unfunded liability to the Michigan Public School Employees Retirement System (MPSERS). Increase consists of:	Gross Restricted GF/GP	<b>\$33,133,600</b> 1,733,600 \$31,400,000	<b>\$27,700,000</b> 0 \$27,700,000
<ul> <li>\$20.9 million to fund the difference between the actuarial accrued liability to the system and the employer contribution cap of 20.96% of payroll set by 2012 amendments (2012 PA 300) to the Public School Employees Act.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>\$6.8 million, combined with redirection of existing School Aid Fund (SAF) appropriations, to fund the estimated cost of reducing the current 20.96%-of-payroll employer cap to 19.76%, a reduction of 1.2 percentage points.</li> </ul>			
Total state support for such payments would be \$60.8 million (\$59.1 million GF/GP). An additional \$18.7 million GF/GP is projected for FY 2015-16.			
House concurs.			

FY 2014-15

Major Budget Changes From FY 2013-14 YTD Appropriations		FY 2013-14 Year-to-Date (as of 2/5/14)	FY 2014-15 House <u>Change</u>
<b>3. GED Incentive Program</b> <u>House</u> adds \$100 placeholder and associated language to develop a GED incentive program under which students could obtain their GEDs at community colleges free of charge upon committing to pursue academic or vocational studies. Colleges would be reimbursed by the state for eligible costs of providing GED programs or testing.	<b>Gross</b> GF/GP	<b>\$0</b> \$0	<b>\$100</b> \$100
<b>4. One-Time Appropriations</b> <u>Executive</u> eliminates funding for one-time appropriation of \$1.1 million GF/GP to the Michigan Community College Association for the purpose of updating and expanding the Michigan Community College Virtual Learning	<b>Gross</b> GF/GP	<b>\$1,100,000</b> \$1,100,000	<b>(\$1,100,000</b> ) (\$1,100,000)

## Major Boilerplate Changes From FY 2013-14

#### Sec. 206a. GED Incentive Program – NEW

Collaborative. House concurs.

<u>House</u> adds language to develop a GED incentive program under which students could obtain their GED certificates at community colleges free of charge upon committing to pursue academic or vocational studies. Colleges would be reimbursed by the state for eligible costs of providing GED programs or testing.

#### Sec. 207a. MPSERS Funding Allocation and Employer Cap Buy-Down – NEW/REVISED

<u>Executive</u> specifies allocation method for distribution of consolidated MPSERS-related state funding (see No. 2, above) and assumes statutory change to Public School Employees Retirement Act to reduce maximum employer contribution to 19.76% of payroll. Distribution calculation would mirror current allocations of SAF and GF/GP portions, which are separately allocated based on each participating community college's percentage of the total covered payroll for all participating community colleges in immediately preceding fiscal year. Each college receiving MPSERS-related support would continue to have to forward the amount allocated to the retirement system. House concurs.

#### Sec. 209. Online Information – REVISED

<u>Executive</u> revises current online posting requirements by deleting requirements for each community college to post its estimated cost resulting from the federal Affordable Care Act. <u>House</u> retains this requirement.

<u>Executive</u> also deletes requirements to post Board of Trustees resolution regarding compliance with best practices needed to qualify for local strategic value component of performance funding, for state budget director to determine community college compliance with posting requirements, and allowing withholding of monthly grant installment for non-compliance with online posting requirements. <u>House</u> concurs with Executive on these changes.

#### Sec. 230. Local Strategic Value – REVISED

Executive changes from November 1 to October 14 the deadline for colleges to certify compliance with specified best practices, which is required to for a college to receive its share of the funding increase distributed under the local strategic value portion of the performance funding formula. House concurs.

#### Sec. 230a. Tuition Restraint – EXCLUDED

Executive conditions receipt of funding increases on restraining tuition and fee increases for Michigan residents to 3.2% or less. Payments for performance funding and local strategic value would only be made to a community college that certified by August 31 that it had not adopted a tuition and fee increase after February 5, 2014 for the 2013-14 academic year, and that it would not adopt a tuition and fee increase over 3.2% for the 2014-15 academic year. House does not include.

		PERFORMANCE FUNDING FORMULA DISTRIBUTIONS							
Percent of Formula-Distributed Increase:		50.0%	17.5%	10.0%	7.5%	15.0%	100.0%		
Community College	FY 2013-14 Year-to-Date Appropriation <sup>3</sup>	Proportional to FY 2013-14 Funding	Weighted Completions	Contact Hour Equated Students	Adjusted Administrative Costs	Local Strategic Value <sup>3</sup>	Total Increase <sup>3</sup>	Assumed FY 2014-15 Appropriation	Percent Increase
Alpena	\$5,236,500	\$78,547	\$20,374	\$7,346	\$24,344	\$23,600	\$154,200	\$5,390,700	2.9%
Bay de Noc	\$5,279,300	\$79,189	\$24,053	\$9,488	\$3,660	\$23,800	\$140,200	\$5,419,500	2.7%
Delta	\$14,063,500	\$210,952	\$86,758	\$42,521	\$31,892	\$63,300	\$435,400	\$14,498,900	3.1%
Glen Oaks	\$2,441,500	\$36,622	\$19,578	\$5,397	\$1,963	\$11,000	\$74,600	\$2,516,100	3.1%
Gogebic	\$4,330,300	\$64,954	\$13,861	\$4,853	\$17,882	\$19,500	\$121,100	\$4,451,400	2.8%
Grand Rapids	\$17,454,900	\$261,823	\$62,200	\$60,876	\$29,226	\$78,500	\$492,600	\$17,947,500	2.8%
Henry Ford	\$21,060,000	\$315,899	\$72,402	\$63,497	\$17,230	\$94,800	\$563,800	\$21,623,800	2.7%
Jackson	\$11,758,200	\$176,373	\$58,725	\$23,594	\$17,546	\$52,900	\$329,100	\$12,087,300	2.8%
Kalamazoo Valley	\$12,122,500	\$181,837	\$68,519	\$40,857	\$34,744	\$54,600	\$380,600	\$12,503,100	3.1%
Kellogg	\$9,522,000	\$142,830	\$49,135	\$22,883	\$33,849	\$42,800	\$291,500	\$9,813,500	3.1%
Kirtland	\$3,055,700	\$45,835	\$22,898	\$7,037	\$22,397	\$13,800	\$112,000	\$3,167,700	3.7%
Lake Michigan	\$5,178,100	\$77,671	\$20,753	\$16,859	\$26,254	\$23,300	\$164,800	\$5,342,900	3.2%
Lansing	\$30,023,700	\$450,354	\$164,993	\$69,533	\$33,950	\$135,100	\$853,900	\$30,877,600	2.8%
Macomb	\$31,931,200	\$478,967	\$134,078	\$93,596	\$35,040	\$143,700	\$885,400	\$32,816,600	2.8%
Mid Michigan	\$4,517,900	\$67,768	\$36,235	\$16,919	\$22,875	\$20,300	\$164,100	\$4,682,000	3.6%
Monroe	\$4,342,600	\$65,139	\$20,491	\$14,506	\$30,682	\$19,500	\$150,300	\$4,492,900	3.5%
Montcalm	\$3,121,200	\$46,818	\$15,938	\$6,646	\$22,065	\$14,000	\$105,500	\$3,226,700	3.4%
Mott	\$15,247,100	\$228,706	\$68,034	\$44,351	\$29,299	\$68,600	\$439,000	\$15,686,100	2.9%
Muskegon	\$8,653,500	\$129,802	\$30,595	\$18,565	\$29,685	\$38,900	\$247,500	\$8,901,000	2.9%
North Central	\$3,064,400	\$45,966	\$13,580	\$9,057	\$25,633	\$13,800	\$108,000	\$3,172,400	3.5%
Northwestern	\$8,825,300	\$132,379	\$33,333	\$20,046	\$28,065	\$39,700	\$253,500	\$9,078,800	2.9%
Oakland	\$20,483,100	\$307,246	\$106,831	\$104,290	\$29,666	\$92,200	\$640,200	\$21,123,300	3.1%
St Clair County	\$6,860,100	\$102,901	\$27,819	\$16,907	\$22,982	\$30,900	\$201,500	\$7,061,600	2.9%
Schoolcraft	\$12,112,200	\$181,683	\$91,660	\$49,560	\$24,108	\$54,500	\$401,500	\$12,513,700	3.3%
Southwestern	\$6,404,300	\$96,064	\$21,471	\$11,173	\$14,578	\$28,800	\$172,100	\$6,576,400	2.7%
Washtenaw	\$12,610,800	\$189,162	\$141,232	\$49,381	\$29,984	\$56,700	\$466,500	\$13,077,300	3.7%
Wayne County	\$16,194,300	\$242,914	\$131,399	\$59,710	\$26,386	\$72,900	\$533,300	\$16,727,600	3.3%
West Shore	\$2,349,800	\$35,247	\$8,833	\$5,281	\$5,059	\$10,600	\$65,100	\$2,414,900	2.8%
TOTALS:	\$298,244,000	\$4,473,650	\$1,565,778	\$894,730	\$671,048	\$1,342,100	\$8,947,300	\$307,191,300	3.0%

# COMMUNITY COLLEGE OPERATIONAL FUNDING INCREASES IN FY 2014-15 EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION

#### Notes:

Assumes that all colleges qualify for increases under existing local strategic value component of performance funding formula.
 Local strategic value component is distributed to qualifying colleges after certification of compliance after start of fiscal year.
 Year-to-date appropriations, local strategic value distributions, and total increases are rounded to the nearest hundred