



Baraga Correctional Facility (AMF)

Location: 13924 Wadaga Road, Baraga, MI 49908

Open: 1993

Gender/Age Limit: Males, 18 and older

Security Level: I and V



Prisoner Population: 595 (October 2024) FY 24 GF Appropriation: \$39 million Full-Time Equated Positions: 295.8

Ionia Correctional Facility (ICF)

Location: 1576 W. Bluewater Highway, Ionia, MI 48846

Open: 1987

Gender/Age Limit: Males, 18 and older

Security Level: II and V



Prisoner Population: 640 (January 2025)
FY 24 GF Appropriation: \$37 million
Full-Time Equated Positions: 290

Richard A. Handlon Correctional Facility (MTU)

Location: 1728 W. Bluewater Highway, Ionia, MI 48846

Open: 1958

Gender/Age Limit: Males, 18 and older

Security Level: ||



Prisoner Population: 1,100 (January 2025)
FY 24 GF Appropriation: \$35 million

Full-Time Equated Positions: 337

Source: https://www.michigan.gov/corrections/prisons and information from the applicable OAG performance audit reports.



Summary of March 2025 Correctional Facility Audit Findings and Observations

Finding Topic	Performance Audit Report Title			
	Baraga Correctional Facility	lonia Correctional Facility	Richard A. Handlon Correctional Facility	Finding Highlights
Significant improvements needed in conducting or thoroughly performing <u>cell searches</u>	Finding 1 (pg. 9) Material condition	Finding 1 (pg. 10) Material condition	Finding 1 (pg. 10) Material condition	 Corrections officers logged cell searches as complete, but our review of surveillance video evidence showed no officer(s) entered the cell or bunk. Officers conducted cell or bunk searches in about 2 minutes, raising concerns of the thoroughness of the searches.
Key access was not always removed for former Facility employees and contractors	Finding 2 (pg. 12) <mark>Material condition</mark>	X*	x	 Staff use PINs/ID cards to open electronic key storage cabinets to remove or replace their assigned key rings before and after each shift. We noted 30 (16%) of 191 individuals no longer worked at the Facility yet had access to key storage cabinets located in the Facility lobby.
Improvements needed in the use of cell sense <u>metal detectors</u>	X	Finding 2 (pg. 14) Material condition	x	 Our surveillance video review showed nearly 30% of the time prisoners were not subjected to metal detectors when required or officers did not perform a pat-down search of the prisoners when flagged by the metal detector.
Improvement needed over tool inspections	Finding 3 (pg. 16) Material condition		Finding 3 (pg. 15) Reportable condition	 The Baraga facility did not always include all critical or dangerous tools (i.e., wrench, drill) on the tool inventory (24%) and did not always sign out tools when in use (24%). The Richard A. Handlon facility did not perform 15% of daily tool inspections and 23% of monthly tool inspections.
Reviews of prisoners placed in <u>segregation</u> need improvement	Finding 4 (pg. 18) <mark>Material condition</mark>	×	×	 The reason a prisoner was placed in temporary segregation was not documented or approved by the warden within 72 hours 24% of the time, and the reason for continued administrative segregation was not documented 15% of the time, among others.
Improvements needed in the performance of <u>security rounds</u>	x	Finding 3 (pg. 16) <mark>Material condition</mark>		 Officers conduct security rounds to check the well-being of prisoners, including viewing inside each cell. We noted officers did not open cell door windows 19% of the time in level V housing units and therefore did not verify the prisoners' physical presence or check for indication of life.
Controls over front gate and sallyport activity need improvement	Finding 5 (pg. 22) Reportable condition	Findings 4 (pg. 18) and 5 (pg. 22) Material conditions	Finding 2 (pg. 13) Reportable condition	 Facility-owned vehicles passed in and out of the Baraga sallyport without a gate manifest 28% of the time. Ionia facility staff did not fully search items (64%), vehicles (55%), and individuals (24%) entering and/or exiting the sallyport gate. Richard A. Handlon staff did not perform required searches at the front gate and sallyport 6% of the time.
Improvements needed in the completion of prisoner searches	X	Finding 6 (pg. 25) Reportable condition		 Corrections officers did not perform 11 (14%) of the 80 prisoner searches reviewed. Officers are required to conduct a pat-down or clothed-body search of at least 5 randomly selected prisoners per shift (except third).
Improvements needed in the completion and documentation of <u>arsenal inspections</u>		Finding 7 (pg. 26) Reportable condition	Finding 4 (pg. 17) Reportable condition	 Required weekly inspections of sealed cabinets located in the arsenal were not completed about 68% of the time at the lonia facility and 30% of the time at the Richard A. Handlon facility. The lonia facility did not perform daily inspections of the arsenal for 18% of shifts reviewed, and Richard A. Handlon facility did not properly document daily inspections for 49% of shifts reviewed.
Completion of informal prisoner counts needs improvement	Finding 6 (pg. 25) Reportable condition			Officers did not conduct 36 (25%) of 143 required informal prisoner counts in the level I housing unit.
Improvement needed in the performance of required <u>radio checks</u>	Finding 7 (pg. 26) Reportable condition	×	×	 The Baraga facility did not conduct 18% of required individual radio checks in a timely manner and 7% of required base station radio checks. These checks ensure radio equipment is in working order and helps ensure the safety and security of officers.
Observation Topic	1000		300 300	
An evaluation of MDOC's metal detector policy should be considered	x	Observation 1 (pg. 29)	Observation 1 (pg. 19)	 During our on-site testing, we carried metal objects through walk-through metal detectors without detection. We noted clarification of Statewide MDOC policy may be necessary regarding metal detector sensitivity settings and selection of an appropriate test piece for periodic testing.

^{*} An "X" indicates we did not include the applicable safety and/or security topic in the scope of the correctional facility audit.