### **Great Start Readiness Program**

FY 17-18 Executive Budget Overview, including formula redesign, to House Appropriations
Subcommittee for School Aid and Education

March 21, 2017





# Section 32d Proposal(s)

Section 32d(1) and 32d(3)

Maintains funding level at \$243,600,00 for delivery of the program, and \$300,000 to continue longitudinal evaluation of children who have participated in GSRP

Sections 32d(5)b and 32d(11)

Changes income eligibility parameters:

Proposed: ALL (or 100%) of children enrolled must meet 250% of Current: 90% of all children enrolled must meet 250% of FPL

under certain conditions Current: an allowance for children to be served up to 300% of FPL

Proposed: no allowance for children over 250% of FPL

# Section 32d Proposal(s)

Section 32d(18)

Maintains requirement to charge tuition based on a sliding scale fee for children over income.

a moot issue if either over income provision is maintained and change 90% to ALL children meeting income eligibility. This is a technical discrepancy with prior sections changes. Becomes Preference is to keep language allowing for between 250-300% FPL

Sections 32d(14) and 32d(19)

Updates wording to clean up slot/child issue and to be consistent with changes in section 39

## Section 39 Proposal(s)

Great Start Collaborative endorsement

endorsed by the Great Start Collaborative Current: Requires the GSRP community needs assessment be

Department to decide if it is included in the application Proposed: Deletes explicit language requirement, but allows the

Population estimates

Current: Department allows ISDs to determine

Proposed: Department prepopulates based on a single data source

Considering the breadth of early childhood providers

eliminate supplanting, to the extent possible Current: Require assessment of all early childhood options to

Proposed: Solely focuses on supplanting with federally-funded Head Start

## Section 39 Proposal(s)

### Wait lists

Current: ISDs are required to maintain a wait list of eligible children to be able to fill spots resulting from transiency

included in the application Proposed: Delete this requirement, but allows the Department to decide if it is

Maintains requirement for ISDs to submit a program implementation plan to department.

### Formula redesign

Current: Complex formula and rank ordering

according to served against target Proposed: Percentage of children served, statewide target, and allocating funds

Maintains allowance for ISDs to serve more GSRP eligible children than funded

### Review of program/funding mechanisms

Current: No requirement

Proposed: Department does an internal review of GSRP biennially and a more robust review with external stakeholders minimally every 5 years

# So What Was Achieved

### with Redesign?

To develop a recommended formula that:

Ensures equitable distribution/levels playing field

promote greater equity of access over time. Identifies a statewide target for serving children that continues to

Uses reliable data

Simplifies needs assessment by using standard U.S. Census ACS data across districts by MDE pre-populating the need in each ISD and then ISDs determine program options to serve that need

Is responsive, not reactionary

Is able to accommodate increases and decreases in funding by legislature

Is transparent and easy to understand

Replaces complex ISD poverty ranking with easily identified percentage of eligible children served

**Ensures stability** 

ACS population data and, is reconciled and adjusted for every three years based on U.S. Census Holds all ISDs harmless to a level of funding based on prior-year served;

### Questions?

Richard Lower, Director

Preschool and Out-of-School Time Learning Office of Great Start

Michigan Department of Education LowerR@michigan.gov







÷.				
•				