

## Joy Brewer

---

**From:** Natalie Schmaltz <natalieannvan@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 26, 2017 1:09 PM  
**To:** Joy Brewer  
**Subject:** Education hearing 4425 and 4426 statement

Thank you, Joy, for being willing to help add our statements to the hearing.

Dear Education Committee

My name is Natalie Schmaltz, I have earned my BS in Chemistry, minor in polymers. I am a mother of 3 children, a student of natural medicine, certified Holistic Health Practitioner. I support HB 4425 and 4426.

The problem with this rule is that it demonstrates coercion, intimidation, force, and government overreach. Our forefathers wrote the constitution to protect us from the overreach of government. They knew the troubles they experienced in their previous countries and knew what it would take to protect us in the long run.

The waiver session forces us to sign a form that states: "By signing this waiver, I acknowledge that I have been informed that I may be placing my child and others at risk of serious illness should he or she contract a disease that could have been prevented through proper vaccination." Since we are not allowed to alter the waiver form, we are forced and coerced to sign this form, otherwise my children will be denied their constitutional right to an education. Why are we the parents being held liable, when no one is held liable for the damage vaccines cause?

I was absolutely disgusted by the power shown at the hearing by the health department. So many parents showed up, in red, to support these bills. How are "We the People" ever suppose to outnumber a government agency? What a slap in the face to see so many health department employees present, demonstrating their power. Why is the government coming after helpless parents with limited funds and resources? It was demonstrated yesterday that us parents have little in numbers and money to influence the government in the way an agency, such as the health department, does.

Part of the exclusion process states that if your child is excluded from school, you can decide to get them vaccinated and they will be re-admitted the following day. Essentially forcing parents to vaccinate their children or be excluded for 21 days or more.

The problem is, when a person receives a vaccine, it does not give immediate immunity. It takes weeks to gain immunity. Therefore, this process is coercion, a government agency using it's power to control the people. It also gives them a false sense of security. Why is no one complaining that these children will be immediately returned to school? They are still a threat to the immunocompromised.

Removing these rules does not decrease vaccination rates. It removes the threat of being held responsible if my kid contracts an illness. This form leaves the door open to charges from child protective services, from lawyers and lawsuits. Who is going to investigate where the disease originated? Now, I'm held liable to do so, when medical records are private by law? It's a dangerous witch hunt.

At the hearing, one of the biggest concerns convened is who will be responsible when there is an outbreak (in regards to schools). The current law allows for the HD to declare an outbreak. The rule puts the burden on the parents of the unvaccinated. What about my child who had a severe reaction and now has many health issues?

Does she not matter? She didn't almost die, and therefore cannot obtain a medical waiver. That's the limitation of the medical waiver – you must almost die to obtain one.

When a heroin addict overdoses, he/she can be given narcan to save him/her. What is there to stop a vaccine reaction? What research is being performed and studied to stop a reaction in it's tracks? Where is the motivation for manufacturers to help these helpless children? All the liability was removed from the manufacturers in 1986.

When my child suffered a vaccine reaction, I called the nurse, as the handout instructed. She said give Tylenol and call back if it continues. I called back about 4 times. My child suffered what is called encephalitis, inflammation of the brain. She carried on for over 4 hours. Thrashing her 2 month old body, screaming that high pitched inconsolable scream, until she passed out. Maybe a question our Representatives should ask is: What support is given to parents who call, as instructed, when their child has a listed severe reaction? When I called, I was told this is normal. That I was a first time mom who doesn't know the difference. I was belittled and shamed. All I had left to turn to was my God. (Having 3 kids, I learned that this was not a normal reaction.)

Why is my family being harassed by these new rules? We tried. We followed suit. I held down my children for their shots. My oldest has many health issues that the medical community cannot figure out, unresolved and now chronic. They have failed my family.

Who is acknowledging the risk and harm to my child? The doctors aren't liable. The vaccine manufacturers aren't liable. Who's covering our expenses for the treatments to help my child? Future vaccine injured children? The vaccine courts don't cover all, and it's a long tedious process for the few that qualify for the very limited funds.

How dare they threaten The People. Do you find it is ok for a government agency to threaten your constituents? I heard over and over that we must protect all the people. Yet everyone ignores the ones who were not protected: the ones already injured and the future injured children.

Please support HB4425 and HB4426

Thank you for time

Natalie Schmaltz  
248-894-2746  
Ortonville, MI

Sent from Outlook