

From: Joyce Belloli <joycebelloli@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 8, 2017 2:42 PM
To: Kevin Gawronski; Rep. Daire Rendon (District 103)
Subject: Smart meters - HB 4220

I do NOT WANT a smart meter on my house - in fact I have opted out with Consumers Energy. I am one of the few who did her research before blindly allowing a smart meter on my house. I am sure that the response to opting out would have been much greater had Consumers been up front about the choices. They only put a positive spin on the position that would be most favorable for them. By the way, I'm sure the available scientific data on the safety of these meters has been updated since the MPSC reviewed the data (which was submitted by an impartial party?) in 2012!

I should have A CHOICE of what is on my property and in my house. I want to protect my health and my privacy. My privacy in this day and age is rapidly disintegrating and a smart meter only contributes to this.

Also, I don't think Consumers should be billing me \$9.72 per month for a manual meter read fee when I submit my own meter reads!!! Here is there response:

Thank you for your inquiry regarding the \$9.72 monthly charge. I am happy to help you with this.

The monthly fee of \$9.72 includes:

- * Manual meter reading and the supporting costs
- * System maintenance and modifications
- * Testing of non-standard meters to comply with regulatory requirements

This fee is not able to be waived if your read your meter and report the reads as it covers more than just meter reading.

Really? This appears to be extortion!

Please re-iterate to the House Energy Committee that I want a CHOICE of what I have on MY property.

Thank you!

Smart Meter Hearing
March 7, 2017

This is a personal testimony about my brother, Perry.

He was diagnosed with Polio at age 4 and was in an iron lung for one year and in hospital and rehabilitation facilities for over two years. He possessed an iron will, amazing determination and razor sharp intellect which he used to become a success in all aspects of his life...despite his physical limitations.

When he was 11, he had Harrington Rods inserted along his spine to help stabilize the curvature that was crushing one of his lungs. (Show photo)

Fast forward to October 20, 2012 when he moved to a condo that was closer to myself and other family members. Within one month, instead of celebrating Thanksgiving and his November 24th birthday, we took him to the hospital with symptoms of psychosis. The University of MI never could determine the cause.

Then, about 6 weeks later, he started acting normally and was completely restored to full mentation. Our brother was back. He finished physical rehab and was able to return home to his condo. But, our celebration was cut short when after about 5 weeks the psychosis started again.

We had no choice but to hospitalize him again and in less than 48 hours he was dead.

People who are afforded special protection under the Federal Americans with Disabilities Act are not sufficiently acknowledged or protected.

In summary, no positive assertion of safety can be made by the FCC nor relied upon.

I believe that my brother's mental state was caused by the 5 smart meters on the other side of his bedroom wall. Nothing can be done to bring him back into our lives, but hopefully we can prevent this from happening to someone else.

These are photos of the side wall of his condo. The window in the photo is his bedroom window and his bed was to the left of this window directly behind a panel of 5 Smart Meters. One for every unit and perhaps a Collector Meter?

Dr. Thomas Rau of the Paraclesus Clinic in Switzerland says that “a strategy for those with electrical sensitivity symptoms is to remove the electromagnetic hot spot in the head created by the presence of metal fillings...because they act as antennas in the presence of electromagnetic fields.

Dr. Magda Havas of Trent University in Ontario in her submission to the California Council on Science & Technology in 2010 states: The presence of metal implants in the body (such as metal pins in bones) may concentrate the absorption of radiation at the location of implantation, inducing thermal effects from lower power densities than would ordinarily cause such harm.

From Sage Environmental Consultants report on the Assessment of Radiofrequency Microwave Radiation Emissions from Smart Meters, January 1, 2011:

People who have medical implants, particularly metal implants, may be more sensitive to spurious RF exposures. What study has been done for people with metal implants who require protection under the Americans with Disabilities Act? What is known about how metal implants can intensify RF, heat tissue and result in adverse effects below RF levels allowed for the general public.

Kevin Gawronski

From: CHUCK CAROSELLI <ccaroselli@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 3:06 PM
To: Kevin Gawronski
Subject: Fw: New 'smart' meter fire vid is going viral

House Energy Committee Members:

This was just sent me - I wanted the members of the House Energy Committee this video.

Three years back when I became aware of our four Local Michigan Anti Smart Technology I was given the video "Take Back Your Power". I was surprise that the Utilities with Government support were involved in this worldwide installation.

Check it out

-

This

video only highlights safety concerns as to house fires and equipment damages.

The way I see it a quote from TBYP video sums up this Utility smart technology issue.

Power does not cease to control. It never has and never will.

Show me just what a people will silently submit to and you can deterring the exact measure of wrong and injustice that will be imposed upon them.

Our Utility Companies must not be given the legal authority to abuse our rights as citizens.

Thanks for Watching,
Chuck Caroselli

----- Original Message -----

From: Take Back Your Power
To: ccaroselli@comcast.net
Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 12:48 AM
Subject: New 'smart' meter fire vid is going viral


Hey Chuck,

Just tonight, Collective Evolution released a new video on the 'smart' meter fire situation, and it's going viral.

In addition to Brian Thiesen, the video features Kevin Zeller, who was shocked to find out his utility was saying he's responsible for \$5,000 in damages caused by his 'smart' meter catching fire. **Their** defective tech just about burns down Kevin's house, and **he's** forced to pay!

And as we know, Kevin isn't alone...

Watch & share the video here »



Right now, thousands per hour are hearing about 'smart' meters for the first time.

Please post your experiences on the comment thread, then share this with everyone on your list or Facebook.

[Watch & share the video here »](#)

Onward,
Josh del Sol


ps. I will have another update to you soon. So much is going on. Just wanted to get this to you asap.

© Copyright 2017, Take Back Your Power, All Rights Reserved


Connect with Us



Rent the award-winning film **[HERE](#)**



Take Back Your Power
14241 NE Woodinville-Duvall Rd #339
Woodinville, WA [98072]
info@takebackyourpower.net

David Lang
Beverly Lang
14600 Oakes Road
Perry, MI 48872-9132


By Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

March 15, 2017

Consumers Energy
Smart Energy Deployment
1945 W. Parnall Road
Jackson, MI 49201-8658

This letter is in response to your letter dated February 16, 2017, received February 21, 2017, a copy of which is attached, indicating that we have declined installation of a new advanced meter.

Citing your letter, this is to confirm that we **“choose to not have a new meter installed.”** That means that we choose to keep our existing, traditional analog meter in lieu of any type of new meter.

Your letter states that by choosing “to not have a new meter installed” that we will be enrolled in the Non-communicating Meter program. Your letter does not define the terms of this program except to explain there is an up-front charge of \$69.39 if notice is given prior to meter install (\$123.91 if notice given after); and a monthly charge of \$9.72 “for ongoing services.”

However, we note that our most recent bill for the month of February (service dates 01/22/17 – 02/17/17) states that we have enrolled in the “Manual Meter Reading program,” with no explanation of what constitutes such a program nor how it relates to the Non-communicating Meter program. Further, our bill includes a charge of \$69.39 labeled “Manual Meter Exchange Fee.”

Your letter states that the charges will be applied to our bill “when we reach your area,” and that we will receive additional communication from you when you begin installing the new advanced meter. To date, we have received no additional communication about installing advanced meters in our area; and to our knowledge, none have yet been installed in our neighborhood.

Thus, the charge of \$69.39 on our current bill is premature. We have paid the \$69.39 Manual Meter Exchange Fee under protest, as we do not agree to have our traditional meter exchanged for *any type* of new meter, whether it is called an advanced meter, Smart Meter, an advanced meter with the transmitter turned off, or a digital non-communicating meter, or any other term designating a meter other than our existing, traditional analog meter.

In the event that our next bill imposes the monthly fee of \$9.72, we will also protest our payment of that fee at least until such time as the advanced meters are installed in our neighborhood, which we have been told will not occur until August-September 2017 – six months from now. We should not have to pay a monthly meter reading fee for six months while our neighbors are having their traditional meters read for no charge. This amounts to your imposing a financial penalty on customers who give notice of their choice to decline prior to the installation of the new meter.

To restate by quoting your letter, we **“choose to not have a new meter installed.”** It is our understanding that under Michigan law, advanced and digital non-communicating meters are not a requirement or a condition for receiving electric service. It is our further understanding that while you have the right to install a “meter” as that term is defined in the law, you do not have the right to install a surveillance device or equipment that may be harmful to our health or security. The functions and effects of what you call advanced/smart/digital non-communicating meters include the emission of harmful electromagnetic radiation and/or the monitoring, surveillance, and recording of events and activities within private property in violation of federal law and constitutional rights, and/or pose a cyber-security risk, all of which are unacceptable to us.

We insist on our right to have our electricity usage monitored by our existing, traditional analog meter, at least until such time as the laws of the state of Michigan provide otherwise. We also note that House Bill 4220, introduced with bi-partisan support to allow customers to retain their traditional meters, self-report readings, and for lower fees, is currently before the House Energy Committee.

Thus, until such time as the law requires otherwise, we will refuse installation of any new meter, advanced meter, or digital non-communicating meter. We have posted a sign next to the meter denying such installation, and may take further steps to secure our rights.

Please understand that we are always ready to provide access to our existing analog meter so that your employees or contractors can read it, inspect it, repair it, or replace it with another meter of like kind and quality should that become necessary.

Sincerely,

David Lang

Beverly Lang

14600 Oakes Road
Perry, Michigan 48872
517-675-4950

Attachment: Consumers Energy Letter dated February 16, 2017
CC: Attorney General Bill Schuette; Representative Gary Glenn

Consumers Energy
Smart Energy Deployment
1945 W Parnall Rd
Jackson, MI 49201-8658

Rec'd
2-21-17

Consumers Energy
Count on Us®

February 16, 2017

1468



DAVID P LANG
14600 OAKES RD
PERRY MI 48872-9132

Account # 100007134693
Service Address: 14600 OAKES RD, PERRY MI 48872-9132

Dear David Lang:

You are receiving this letter because our records indicate you have declined installation of a new advanced meter. Consumers Energy offers its customers the choice of not having an advanced meter installed on their home and continuing to have the meter read manually.

If you choose to not have a new meter installed, you will be enrolled in the Non-communicating Meter program. Additional costs for each electric meter associated with your account will be charged in accordance with a rate order recently approved by the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Up Front Charge: \$69.39: one-time charge if notice is given prior to meter install, or \$123.91: one-time charge if notice is given after the meter is installed

Monthly Charge: \$9.72: per month for ongoing services

We are encouraging customers to choose the advanced meters because of the improved customer service and greater reliability they provide.

We are installing meters throughout the state through 2017. Should you change your decision and elect to receive an advanced meter, please call our dedicated smart energy customer care team at (888) 862-2199. Should you elect to remain on the Non-communicating Meter program, charges will be applied to your bill when we reach your area. You will receive additional communication from us when we begin installing the new advanced meter.

We look forward to hearing from you and appreciate the opportunity to serve you.

Sincerely,

Consumers Energy Smart Energy® Program
Tel: 1-888-862-2199
ConsumersEnergy.com/smartenergy

H

CF00141

From: Beverly Lang <bevlang@tds.net>
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Kevin Gawronski
Cc: Rep. Ben Frederick (District 85)
Subject: Consumers Energy Issues Relevant to HB 4220
Attachments: ResponseLetter.docx; Consumers_OptOutLetter.JPG

Dear Mr. Gawronski, Clerk of House Energy Policy Committee:

We are writing in support of HB 4220 and to share the following concerns with the Committee as a result of our experience with Consumers Energy regarding opting-out of having a Smart Meter.

Attached is a letter we sent in response to the form letter from Consumers Energy Smart Energy Deployment team (copy also attached). After a follow-up conversation with the customers relations department, three issues are of concern:

- (1) Although we were told that it would be August or September of this year when Consumers expects to install Smart Meters in our neighborhood, the \$9.72 monthly charge will be added to our bill beginning in April. This means **we will be paying to have our meter read during the next five or six months while our neighbors' existing meters are read at no charge.** This feels like we are being penalized by up to \$58 simply because we requested opt-out prior to having a Smart Meter installed. We were told by Consumers that "this is the way the tariff operates," that they begin charging the monthly fee to opt-out customers when the installers reach our "area." We were told that they are installing in the Lansing area, which consists of four counties: Ingham, Eaton, Clinton and Shiawassee. It is **unfair, discriminatory and punitive** that opt-out customers **throughout a four-county area** are being billed for many monthly meter reading fees while their neighbors' meters are read at no charge pending the installation of Smart Meters.
- (2) We have received no logical explanation from Consumers as to what the \$69.39 up-front charge is for. They say it is the cost to keep the system running, warehousing, supply chain, ordering, etc; but those operational costs also pertain to Smart Meters and are already baked into the rate, resulting in **opt-out customers paying twice:** once with the up-front fee and continually thereafter with the rate paid for electricity. This practice is nothing more than **cost-shifting and/or a penalty charged to opt-out customers.**
- (3) The "programs" into which Consumers is enrolling its opt-out customers are not explained nor are customers given the terms and conditions of the programs. They use the term Non-communicating Meter Program in their letter; but the bill identifies the up-front charge as a "Manual Meter Exchange Fee" and states that we have enrolled in the "Manual Meter Reading Program." This is very confusing to customers.

Please share this information with Rep. Glenn and the Committee. Thank you for your consideration of our concerns. We are also sending a copy of this message and its attachments to our District 85 representative, Rep. Ben Frederick.

Beverly and David Lang
14600 Oakes Road
Perry, MI 48872
517-675-4950



Virus-free. www.avast.com


Kevin Gawronski

From: KWMASTOUCH@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, March 26, 2017 9:22 PM
To: Kevin Gawronski
Subject: HB 4220

Please give us a choice. Smart meters are not healthy for some. Kathy Warras

Deceptive smart meters – False readings up to 528 percent higher than actual energy consumption

Posted by: Lori Alton staff writer in Smart Meter Dangers March 25, 2017 7 Comments



Sweet Freedom
ENDING SUGAR ADDICTION 'FOR GOOD'

Sugar is everywhere—you may not even know you're eating it—but it could be the reason you're sick, tired, depressed or overweight...

FREE
FROM AI
AT

[Facebook93](#)

[Email](#)



(NaturalHealth365) Over 50 million so-called smart meters have already been installed in homes across the United States – and the number increases daily. Although some herald them as an ‘energy-efficient’ way to help consumers reduce electric bills, many public health and safety advocates are fighting to expose the grim truth: **“smart” meters present a grave threat to human health and personal privacy.**

Now, research reveals that these brand new, digital meters – being placed on homes throughout the world – can be wildly inaccurate in their assessment of energy usage. (costing consumers way more money in energy bills)

Dutch study yields jaw-dropping results about smart meters

Just as in America, smart meters, or “static energy meters,” are replacing traditional electromechanical energy meters all across the Netherlands. In fact, the Dutch government has announced its goal of having these digital meters in every household in the country by 2020 – a mere three years away.

In both the United States and the Netherlands, many consumers have insisted that the new electronic energy meters yield excessively high readings. In a new study published in the scientific journal *IEEE Electromagnetic Compatibility Magazine* and conducted by the University of Twente and the Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, researchers found that they were right.

To conduct the study, lead researcher Franz Leferink, Professor of Electromagnetic Compatibility at the UT, tested nine different electronic meters manufactured between 2004 and 2014. The meters were connected via electric switchboard to various power-saving devices – including energy-saving light bulbs, heaters, LED bulbs and dimmers. The team then compared actual energy consumption with the readings furnished by the electronic meters.

The results were profoundly disturbing. Five of the nine meters – more than half – gave readings higher than the actual power consumed – in some cases, a staggering 582 percent higher. Other readings were 475 percent, 566 percent, 569 percent and 581 percent higher than actual use. (This could theoretically reflect a near six-fold increase in a utility bill – a rip-off of outrageous proportions!)

In contrast, only two of the meters gave inaccurate readings that were as much as 32 percent lower than the actual power consumed.

Incompatibility problem causes serious errors

The researchers believe they discovered a design flaw in the new energy meters, which became apparent when the meters were used with modern, energy-efficient switching devices – which create non-linear, fast-switching electrical loads. The greatest inconsistencies were seen when dimmers, combined with energy-saving light bulbs and LEDs, were tested in the system.

When switching devices are used, the electricity no longer exhibits a perfect waveform, but develops an erratic pattern – something the designers of the new meters did not allow for. After disassembling the meters, the researchers determined that excessive readings were delivered by meters containing a “Rogowski coil” current sensor while those associated with low readings used a “Hall effect-based” current sensor.

Professor Leferink noted that the errors occurred in spite of the fact that all meters tested met legal requirements and were certified – and he estimates that potentially inaccurate meters have been installed in at least 750,000 Dutch households.

A way of legally protecting yourself from harm

Professor Leferink noted that consumers who feel their meters are giving faulty readings can have the meter tested by an accredited inspection company. But, if the inspection shows that the meter is functioning properly, the consumer will have to pay all costs involved in the inspection. A more pressing problem is the fact that the standardized test doesn’t make allowances for waveform-distorting power-consuming appliances either, and is thereby an unreliable method for detecting false readings. The researchers advise consumers who suspect inaccurate meter readings to contact their supplier, who will then pass the complaint on to the power grid operator. However, there is another solution – **you can legally refuse having a smart meter installed.** [Click here to learn more about the right way to protect your rights](#) (and your health).

The suppressed truth: Smart meters emit dangerous amounts of toxic radiation

Residential smart meters emit non-ionizing microwaves at the rate of 10,000 to 200,000 pulses per meter a day – a relentless bombardment which gives the body no time to recover or repair the damage. In fact, this is the radioactive equivalent of 160 cell phones. Repeated exposure to excessive radiation can trigger migraines and neurological conditions, as well as various types of cancer.

The World Health Organization has classified non-ionizing radiation as a Class 2B Carcinogen, at the same level as lead and propylene oxide – both known carcinogens. And, **the American Academy of Environmental Medicine has called for a moratorium on smart meters until the health effects can be adequately studied.**

In the film *Take Back Your Power*, independent researcher Dr. Frank Springbob studies blood samples taken from volunteers after one minute of exposure one foot away from a smart meter, and detects misshapen and clumping red blood cells – a clear sign of free radical damage.

Not surprisingly, utility companies continue to deny that smart meters emit radio frequency radiation at a high enough level to make people sick.

Although the term “smart meters” seems to impart an aura of intelligence and trustworthiness, the truth is that these sinister devices are a major part of a multi-trillion dollar scam being perpetrated in the name of “climate action” and grid modernization. The “powers that be” know this, and are hoping against hope that they will not be called to account by an informed and empowered people.

References:

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7866234/?reload=true>

<http://www.naturalhealth365.com/toxic-radiation-brain-cancer-1994.html>

From: cometwatcher@lycos.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 6:59 PM
To: Kevin Gawronski
Subject: HB 4220- Smart Meters hacker conference in Berlin

Mar. 28, 2017

Kevin Gawronski, House Energy Committee Clerk

Re: HB 4220 The Analog Meter Choice Bill

Dear Mr. Gawronski,

With a "smart meter" you will lose the privacy in your home and your bill may be incorrect.

At the 28th Chaos Computing Congress (28c3) hacker conference in Berlin, Germany researchers presented a talk titled "Smart Hacking for Privacy" where they looked into the privacy implications of "smart" electricity meters.

The researchers discovered the power companies claim of data encryption and signing of traffic was untrue and they were able to intercept the communications using their router and forge incorrect readings back to the utility which at one point showed their minimum consumption to be - 106,610 kWh. (WOW)

Much concern was expressed that these smart meters were monitoring their power usage in two-second intervals. They were curious what type of information they could determine about someone with such fine grained measurements.

They tested different appliances to demonstrate the unique signatures their power consumption show on the two second interval graphs. This data could identify when the refrigerator was running, when you may be home or away or even sleeping.

They then looked at electrical usage of plasma, LCD and CRT televisions and could see differences in power consumption based on the brightness levels

displayed for different scenes in TV shows and movies. You can clearly see a discernible pattern of power usage that uniquely fingerprints this film. The researchers conclude that two seconds is a bit intrusive to privacy and unnecessary for the stated goals of the smart meter companies.

I am waiting for a "smart" meter computer virus to cause the meter to disconnect power to homes. Then the fun really starts.

Source:

<https://nakedsecurity.sophos.com/2012/01/08/28c3-smart-meter-hacking-can-disclose-which-tv-shows-and-movies-you-watch/>

I demand a choice to keep my analog electric meter.

Best regards,

Robert Hall

P.O. Box 222

Bath, MI 48808

517-582-9437

Kevin Gawronski

From: cometwatcher@lycos.com
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 9:06 PM
To: Kevin Gawronski
Subject: HB 4220 Proof - 'Smart' Meters for mass surveillance

Mar. 30, 2017

Kevin Gawronski, House Energy Committee Clerk

Re: HB 4220 The Analog Meter Choice Bill

Greetings Mr. Gawronski

Thank you for allowing me submit this information to the House Energy Committee records.

House Energy Committee State of Michigan

Re: **HB 4220 The Analog Meter Choice Bill**

Dear Committee Member,

The data analytics company **Onzo** has blatantly admitted to using 'smart' meters for mass surveillance.

In a 2015 interview, a high-level **NARUC** (National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners) director stated **"I think the data [harvested by 'smart' meters] is going to be worth a lot more than the commodity that's being consumed to generate the data."**

—Miles Keogh, Director, 1 January 2015

He used the words **"to generate the data."**

Mr. Keogh has stated that the data collected through 'smart' meter surveillance will be more profitable than the commodity (energy) that we are buying. Who is being 'exploited'?

The Onzo software company states: **"We take energy consumption data from smart meters and sensors. We analyze it using our patented algorithms. And build a highly-personalized profile for each and every utility customer.**

Now the consumers (i.e. yourself and myself) are **being profiled.**

The data collected is then 'sold' to third-party entities for other 'usage.'

The Onzo company even states: **"We then tag this profile with the key behavioral, attitudinal and lifestyle characteristics that we identify. We even tag the appliances that we see being used in the home.**

There is more to the story but for times sake enough for now.

Smart meters computer circuitry will fail, require upgrades (hardware & software) are 'hackable,' start fires and Do NOT save the customer any money.

With governmental and private 'intelligence agencies' admitting that every email and phone call is being 'recorded,' I have no desire to have such invasive and dangerous technology attached so intimately to my home.

Analog meters require 'no maintenance' and are private... what a concept.

Please support the HB 4220 Analog Choice Bill in Michigan

Thank you and best regards,

Robert Hall

P.O. Box 222

Bath, MI 48808

517-582-9437

From: cometwatcher@lycos.com
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 10:21 AM
To: Kevin Gawronski
Subject: HB 4220- Proof Over-billing by 582%- University of Applied Sciences document

Mar. 31, 2017

Kevin Gawronski, House Energy Committee Clerk

Re: HB 4220 The Analog Meter Choice Bill

Greetings Mr. Gawronski

Thank you for allowing me submit this information to the House Energy Committee records.

Mar. 31, 2017

Michigan House Energy Committee

Re: HB 4220 The Analog Meter Choice Bill - University of Applied Sciences

Dear Committee Member,

Smart Meters could be overbilling you by a whopping 582%

A research team from the University of Twente in Enschede, Netherlands, and Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences documented billing inaccuracies in a paper that reviewed the efficacy of so-called "smart" electric meters, ranging from -32 percent to +582 percent of the actual power consumed in a month's period.

Electrical Static and Line Noise 'confuses' the meters.

The paper also noted that, ironically, the overbilling is mostly due to older power-saving features because they introduce line noise that interferes with the wireless signal and thereby 'confuses' the meters.

In all, five of nine smart meters that were tested provided readings that were substantially greater than the real amount of energy utilized, while two actually gave readings lower than the amount of power consumed.

But both modes of construction inaccurately measured energy usage.

In the process, the three-man team of researchers found that the meters which provided the dramatically higher usage rates used a Rogowski Coil in the construction, while meters that gave artificially lower readings employed Hall effect-based sensors. But both modes of construction inaccurately measured the actual amount used.

**Michigan needs to keep its Analog Meters.
Please support HB 4220 for Michigan families.**

Source: <http://boingboing.net/2017/03/11/unaccountable-algorithms.html>

Best regards,

Robert Hall
P.O. Box 222
Bath, MI 48808
517-582-9437

Payment Coupon

Please indicate amount paying \$

Account Number 2544 007 000:
 Due Date: March 27, 20
 Total Due: \$342.

21772 1 AV 0.370 **T073**P02**M03***AUTO**SCH 5-DIGIT 48235
 BARBARA ANN LEWIS-CLARK
 18455 OAKFIELD ST
 DETROIT MI 48235-3058

Mail Payments To:

DTE Energy
 P.O. Box 740786
 Cincinnati OH 45274-0786

For address corrections, please visit dteenergy.com
 or call 800.477.4747.

Return upper portion with your payment \$15000737
 Keep lower portion for your records

Contact Information

Gas Leak or Gas Emergency 800.847.5000
 Customer Service or Power Outage 800.477.4747
 Hearing Impaired TDD Line 800.888.6886 (Mon-Fri 8am-5pm)
 Web Site dteenergy.com

Programs you are enrolled in

Senior Program


Summary of Charges

Account Number 2544 007 0003 8

* Account Balance as of Feb 03, 2017	197.30
Payment Received	0.00
Balance Prior to Current Charges	197.30
Total Current Charges	145.32
Account Balance as of March 03, 2017	\$342.62

Your Monthly Energy UsageFor ways to save energy and save money, go to dteenergy.com/saveenergy

KWH




Your usage is based on an ACTUAL meter reading

Average Usage per day			
	Current Month	Last Month	Year Ago
KWH Usage	8.9	10.8	9.2
Change		-18%	-8%

Your average daily electric cost for this period was \$1.71

CCF



Your usage is based on an ACTUAL meter reading

Average Usage per day			
	Current Month	Last Month	Year Ago
CCF Usage	3.8	4.8	4.3
Change		-25%	-15%

Your average daily gas cost for this period was \$8.27

Payment Coupon

243

19821 1 AV 0.370**T067*2*P02*M04***AUTO**SCH 5-DIGIT 4823
 BARBARA ANN LEWIS-CLARK
 18485 OAKFIELD ST
 DETROIT MI 48235-3058

Please indicate amount paying \$

Account Number	9100 102 16
Past Due - Pay Now	\$34
Due April 26, 2017	\$14
Total Due:	\$48

Mail Payments to:

DTE Energy
 P.O. Box 740786
 Cincinnati OH 45274-0786



For address corrections, please visit dteenergy.com
 or call 800.477.4747.

Return upper portion with your payment 2009104

Keep lower portion for your records

Contact Information

Gas Leak or Gas Emergency 800.947.5000
 Customer Service or Power Outage 800.477.4747
 Hearing-Impaired TDD Line 800.888.8886 (Mon-Fri 8am-5pm)

Programs you are enrolled in

Senior Program

Summary of Charges *New ACCT. NO.*

Account Number 9100 102 1688

* Account Balance as of Mar 03, 2017	342.62
Payment Received	0.00
Balance Prior to Current Charges	342.62
Total Current Charges	146.25
Account Balance as of April 04, 2017	\$488.87


Your current charges are due on April 26, 2017. A 2% late payment charge will be applied if paid after the due date.

Your Monthly Energy Usage

For ways to save energy and save money, go to dteenergy.com/saveenergy

KWH

* ELECTRIC NOTE




Your usage is based on an ACTUAL meter reading

Average Usage per day

	Current Month	Last Month	Year Ago
KWH Usage	7.9	8.9	0.0
Change		-11%	0%

CCF

GAS



Your usage is based on an ACTUAL meter reading

Average Usage per day

	Current Month	Last Month	Year Ago
CCF Usage	3.6	3.6	4.3
Change		0%	-16%

Important Information

HENRY CLARKSTROKE FO

04/10/2017 15:45 313621928



Payment Coupon

3 of 3

21772 1 AV 0.370 **T07301002**003**AUTO**SCH 6-DIGIT 48235
 BARBARA ANN LEWIS-CLARK
 18485 OAKFIELD ST
 DETROIT MI 48235-3658



Please indicate amount paying \$

Account Number	2544 007 0083 8
Due Date:	March 27, 2017
Total Due:	\$342.62

Mail Payments To:

DTE Energy
 P.O. Box 740786
 Cincinnati OH 45274-0786

paid in full
4/3/2017
U M
Kash
Rate
due

For address corrections, please visit dteenergy.com
 or call 800.477.4747.

Return upper portion with your payment 816889737



DTE Energy

One Energy Plaza
 Detroit MI 48226
 (800) 477-4747
www.dteenergy.com

Location:	RA-POL
Trans ID:	6020000700215
Auth No:	511605
Pay Method:	VISA XXXX-3173
Date:	04/03/2017
Time:	06:54 PM

Account Number	Amount
*****6885	\$342.62
Total Payment	\$342.62
Remaining Amount Due	\$0.00

Thank you! Your payment has now been applied
 to the amount due. This payment may not
 prevent a shutoff of services for non-payment if
 scheduled for today.