

OAKLAND COUNTY EXECUTIVE L. BROOKS PATTERSON

HEALTH DIVISION

Leigh-Anne Stafford, Health Officer (248) 858-1410 | health@oakgov.com

Testimony to the Michigan House of Representatives, Committee on Natural Resources of Oakland County Health Division's Opposition to House Bills (HB) 5752 and 5753

Presented By: Anthony Drautz, DHA, MSA, RS/REHS

Environmental Health Administrator
Oakland County Health Division

Date: April 11, 2018

Mr. Chairman and distinguished members of the Committee on Natural Resources, I am here representing the Oakland County Health Division which provides public health services for Oakland County's 1.2 million citizens.

Today I am speaking on the Oakland County Health Division's opposition of HB 5752 and HB 5753. Testimony in Opposition to House Bill 5752 and 5753.

There has been no provision of compelling evidence that a statewide model for the regulation of onsite wastewater treatment systems reduces illicit discharges, improves water quality over time, or is more protective of human health than the current county/district sanitary code model. It is easy to argue that this Amendatory Act removes local controls in the form of removing existing regulations and guidelines and prevents creation of new regulations that have proven effective in counties or districts across the state. With varying soil conditions across the state, each local jurisdiction has developed codes to design and permit sewage disposal systems based on the need of their community. There are no data available to show that a statewide code provides greater protection than the customized codes that are based on local geology. There is evidence that point-of-sale programs are manageable at the local level, provide much needed consumer protections, and have significantly reduced the rates of failing systems over time.

The cost to inspect every system is an unnecessary burden to the citizens that rely on onsite wastewater systems. There is no data to support an overhaul of this magnitude. The Oakland County Health Division does test surface and ground water and we are not seeing the same issues as described by the supporters of this Amendatory Act.

The Oakland County Health Division has a strong sanitary code for the regulation onsite wastewater treatment systems and enjoys a collaborative relationship with the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ). Effective legislation would provide the necessary funding to MDEQ for statewide training efforts, focused enforcement of existing Minimum Program Requirements of the Local Public Health Accreditation program at underperforming local public health departments, and advocacy for strengthening of weak sanitary codes. This can be done without compromising local health departments with strong sanitary codes in place, without the jeopardy of lowering standards already in place, and without the

tremendous financial burden these proposed amendments will impose on citizens and health departments alike.

Negative Impacts to Oakland County:

- This Amendatory Act requires a tremendous amount of additional work to be completed by Oakland County Health Division (OCHD) personnel without adequate funding. Immediately effective is a provision for all conventional systems to have a septic tank assessment every 10 years (Sec. 12810) and the inspection of Alternative Systems every five (5) years (Sec. 12805). It's estimated that Oakland County has over 100,000 onsite wastewater treatment systems currently installed. Enforcement, permitting, and inspection burdens on OCHD and County Prosecutor's Office would simply not be possible without the provision of substantial state funding for this unfunded mandate.
- Further, other sections of the proposed act will become immediately effective including: convention system evaluations upon request by a property owner, where a complaint shows reasonable cause, where there is change of use, or there is an application for a building permit for a structure or addition (Sec. 12811). This immediately puts the burden for evaluations on the local health departments and is entirely unmanageable without increases in staffing, and without considering time needed to meet this Act's training requirements, local board approvals for positions, or the potential three-year rule promulgation process. There will be no Registered Inspectors at the time this Act takes effect. The Act in no way establishes appropriate funding for the increases in work requirements or the needed staffing increases. Even the unfunded mandate requirement for local health departments to collect the \$25.00 administrative fee for submittal of assessment and evaluation reports (Sec. 12810, 12811) is a burden to OCHD for administrative, accounting, Information Technology, and auditing.
- Several provisions of the Amendatory Act will remove existing regulation, require revisions to the local Sanitary Code, and will grant the MDEQ authority to approve the local Sanitary Code as it relates to onsite wastewater systems. This eliminates the local authority and undermines powers and duties of the local health department to implement and enforce laws locally. In a unified form of county government, the Board of Commissioners has the authority to approve the local Sanitary Code (Sec. 12803, 12809, 12816). Further, the preemption established in Sec.12816 also eliminates local authority to implement consumer protections in the form a point-of-sale inspection program.
- This Amendatory Act requires the MDEQ to establish state-wide lists of registered evaluators (Sec. 12812) and to create and maintain a state-wide electronic database (Sec. 12813). The MDEQ has no history in environmental health programs to effectively and efficiently develop, adapt or maintain an electronic database to meet program needs. For example, Noncommunity Type II Public Drinking Water Well program is still waiting on a reporting and tracking mechanism for program elements required by the Revised Total Coliform Rule effective April 2016. Without a comprehensive program database, onsite wastewater system evaluations will be tracked by the local health departments with no funding allocated. This issue has been, and remains unacceptable.

- The creation of a State Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) erodes local control and authority of the design, permitting, inspection and management of onsite wastewater treatment systems. It needs to be clear that TAC approvals, standards, and recommendations for proprietary and alternative systems do not automatically allow a proprietary or alternative system to be used in a county without also receiving county approval of the propriety product or alternative system (Sec. 12808). Further, the TAC role in the rule making process limits local input to four (4) Local Health Department representatives for a state with 45 local health departments (Sec. 12809).
- Lastly, the MDEQ does not currently have the budget or staffing to complete the mandates in this Amendatory Act. Beyond the enormous expense that MDEQ will realize just in the creation of electronic registration and assessment databases, there will be other expenses that MDEQ is not in a position to absorb. For example, where a local health department is not authorized under Sec. 12802 and/or 12803, the MDEQ becomes responsible for the requirements of the Act. Adding to this burden, competency in Minimum Program Requirements is a requirement for authorization under Sec. 12802. This should require the MDEQ to de-authorize local health departments that do not meet Local Public Health Accreditation requirements. The MDEQ does not have the funding or staffing to administer this Act for even a single local health department, and again due to complete lack of assurances of appropriate funding, will find no incentive for other local departments to contract with MDEQ to do the work. Further, Sec. 12802 requires soils training by MDEQ or other authorized entity. The MDEQ has never been able to meet the training needs of the State with current funding and staff.

Thank you for allowing us to provide this testimony today. Again, I urge you to oppose both House Bills 5752 and 5753.

		•